

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/644,764	08/23/2000	Deborah Tate Welsh	30010-A	2695	
27148	7590 08/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
POLSINELLI SHALTON WELTE SUELTHAUS P.C.			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
700 W. 47TH STREET SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112-1802			2154	·	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	L A				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/644,764	WELSH, DEBORAH TATE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MANUNO DATE «CALIC CONTROL SINCE	Dustin Nguyen	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	arch 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 8-11,14-16,23,24 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8-11,14-16,23,24,26 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	· ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/644,764

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 8-11, 14-16, 23, 24, 26-30 are presented for consideration.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 03/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. As per remarks, Applicants' argued that (1) both references teach information on only one side of the tag or stud.
- 4. As to point (1), Longo discloses an identification tag that includes front and rear views information [i.e. tele-card and phone numbers] [Figures 2-4; and col 4, lines 24-44].
- In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to a person skill in the art to combine the teaching of

Art Unit: 2154

rabies information on the first side of the tag from Shorrock reference with Longo identification tag because it would have enable additional information to be included on the identification tag so that more detail and specific information about individual pet can be immediately identified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longo et al. [US Patent No 5,912,956], in view of Shorrock et al. [US Patent No 6,283,065].
- 8. As per claim 28, Longo discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a rabies tag comprising:

a body including a first [Figure 2] and a second side [Figure 3], the second side including information for accessing a host computer on a communications network in order to enter the rabies information from the first side of the body relating to the pet [Figures 1, 7-9; Abstract; and col 1, lines 61-col 2, lines 7].

Longo does not specifically disclose the first side including rabies information.

Shorrock discloses the first side including rabies information [col 1, lines 21-26; and col 3, lines 64-col 4, lines 20].

Application/Control Number: 09/644,764

Art Unit: 2154

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Longo and Shorrock because Shorrock's teaching of rabies information on the first side would provide detail information to identify lost pet in a more efficient manner.

- 9. As per claim 29, Longo discloses wherein the information for accessing a host computer includes, an address for the host computer [col 1, lines 61-col 2, lines 7].
- 10. As per claim 30, Shorrock discloses wherein the rabies information comprises information selected from the group consisting of a veterinarian's name for the pet, the veterinarian's phone number, alphanumeric characters, and a year [i.e. medical record] [col 1, lines 14-26; and col 4, lines 21-31].
- 11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/644,6 Art Unit: 2154

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Follansbee John can be reached on (571) 272-4968. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154